

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1809
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/844,523	04/27/2001	George Dewey Cannon	10423-0006-999	4156
75	7590 12/14/2005		EXAMINER	
Kimble C. Cannon, Esq.			RETTA, YEHDEGA	
HALE AND DORR LLP 1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington,, DC 20004			3622	-

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/84	14,523	CANNON ET AL.			
		Exam	iner	Art Unit			
		Yehde	ega Retta	3622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appears or	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a unication. tutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause th	THIS COMMU no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) N e application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 27 A <i>nril 200</i>)1				
-		b)⊠ This action					
=	, -						
. 4/	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
_	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the a	nnlication					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	•	o consideration				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	o wanarawa aron	r consideration.				
· _	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	on requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the	Evaminer					
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner			
. • / 🗀	Applicant may not request that any object	•	•	·			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	_		•	CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	or foreign priority	/ under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have	been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have	been received in	n Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority doc	uments have be	en received in this Nationa	l Stage		
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the o	certified copies r	not received.			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			w Summary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (P1	ГО-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/27/01</u> . 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claimed invention must fall into one of the four recognized statutory classes of invention, a process (or method), a machine (or system); an article of manufacture; or a composite of matter. However claim 12 does not seem to fall within one of these recognized categories. The invention seems to be directed toward an article of manufacture, however, computer program mechanism claimed as embodied in computer readable media is descriptive material per se and is not statutory because it is not capable of causing functional change in the computer. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer code and other claimed elements of a computer, which permit the computer's program to be realized (see MPEP section 2106). Simply stated invention not claimed, as computer program that when executed by the computer causes the computer to perform the claimed limitation is not statutory. Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material stored in a computer-readable medium does not make the invention eligible for patenting.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/844,523 Page 3

Art Unit: 3622

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Slotznick (US 6011537).

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Slotznick teaches intercepting a first request (step 78) from the user for first content (primary data, step 82); determining that the second request (another primary data) is independent of said first content (step 88) (and facilitating the insertion of supplemental content (secondary information see col. 29 lines 15-43) between the first content and second content; receiving the first content; parsing the first content and determining that the second request is not embedded in the first content (see fig. 7 col. 20 line 32 to col. 21 line 64, col. 25 line 40 to col. 26 line 25, col. 29 lines 15, col. 35 line 45 to col. 36 line 67).

Regarding claims 2-6, Slotznick teaches recognizing the second content is not related to first request (next website or next web page); or the second content is a predetermined type (HTML document); second content is not a type normally used to render first content (a web page) (see col. 20 line 32 to col. 21 line 38, col. 22 lines 47-67, col. 23 lines 1-54, col. 24 lines 11-49, col. 25 lines 8-18, col. 29 line 15 to col. 30 line 23).

Regarding claims 7-9, Slotznick teaches concluding that the second request originated from the first content and the second request is not a list of known supplemental content servers (see col. 9 line 22 to col. 10 line 5).

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected as stated above in claim 1.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3622

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Perllman (US 6237039) teaches downloading auxiliary data to a client from a network during client idle periods.

Wolfe (US 6397246 B1) teaches, presentation of an informational, e.g., advertising, Web page before presenting the requesting client the Web page at the requested URL. Brothers (US 6438125 B1) teaches once a predetermined criterion is met such as among others, a time period that has elapsed since the last web page request issued from the client/subscriber, a particular IP destination address requested by the client/subscriber, or the client/subscriber's IP address, substituting a replacement web page for the web page requested by the client/subscriber. Appropriate methods of returning the replacement web page to the client/subscriber include, but are not limited to, returning the replacement web page: (1) without ever returning the intended web page; (2) for only a predetermined period of time; or (3) in a secondary browser window that pops on top of the intended web page, which is returned in a primary browser window. Servan-Schreiber (US 6892354 B1) teaches when a user clicks on a link from the currently displayed web page in order to request that a new web page be downloaded and displayed (from any particular remote server), the new http connection is established, and simultaneously, the cached advertising page, is displayed for a minimum predetermined amount of time T or until the new web page "sufficiently" is downloaded, whichever is greater.

Art Unit: 3622

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yehdega Retta whose telephone number is (571) 272-6723. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YR

RETTA YEHDEGA